arXiv:1612.07616v1 [quant-ph] 20 Dec 2016

# RESTRICTIONS ON THE SCHMIDT RANK OF BIPARTITE UNITARY OPERATORS BEYOND DIMENSION TWO

ALEXANDER MÜLLER-HERMES AND ION NECHITA

ABSTRACT. There are none.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
3. Unitaries from permutations 3
4. The product construction 5
5. The Fourier construction 6
6. Unbalanced dimensions 8
References 8

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the possible values of an integer invariant of bipartite operators, the *operator Schmidt rank*. For a non-zero operator $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ the operator Schmidt rank is defined as the unique number $\Omega(X) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega(X)} A_i \otimes B_i$$

with orthogonal[1] sets of non-zero operators $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^r \subset \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^r \subset \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $\Omega(X) \in \{1, \ldots, \min(n,m)^2\}$, and for general operators $X$ all these ranks can occurr. Here we are interested in the case of unitary operators $X$. The requirement of $X$ being unitary causes an interesting restriction in dimension two:

**Theorem 1.1** ([4]). *For $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ only ranks $\Omega(U) \in \{1,2,4\}$ are possible.*

The proof of the result above relies on the so-called *normal form* of two-qubit unitary operations from [6]. In [8] further restrictions on the operator Schmidt ranks of higher dimensional unitary operators have been conjectured. However, in dimensions $n = m = 3$ no such restrictions have been observed:

**Theorem 1.2** ([7, Theorem 10]). *For $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3)$ all ranks $\Omega(U) \in \{1,2,\ldots,9\}$ are possible.*

These results raise the question, whether there are any restrictions on operator Schmidt ranks for bipartite unitaries in higher dimensions. In this paper, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *For $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$ and $(n,m) \neq (2,2)$ all ranks $\Omega(U) \in \{1,2,\ldots,\min(n,m)^2\}$ are possible.*

*Date*: December 23, 2016.

[1] with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product $\langle X, Y\rangle = \operatorname{tr}(X^*Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

*Proof.* The proof consists of a collection of examples, detailed in the following sections. First, we show that the result holds in the "balanced" case $n = m$, as follows:

- Ranks $\{1, \dots, n\}$: Proposition 2.3
- Ranks $\{n, \dots, n^2\} \setminus \{n+1, n^2-1\}$: Theorem 3.3
- Rank $n+1$, for odd $n$: Corollary 4.2
- Rank $n+1$, for even $n$: Proposition 4.3
- Rank $n^2 - 1$: Proposition 5.2.

The general case $n \neq m$ is proven in Theorem 6.1. □

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Chris Perry for interesting discussions. AMH acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (ERC Grant Agreement no 337603), the Danish Council for Independent Research (Sapere Aude), the Swiss National Science Foundation (project no PP00P2 150734), and the VILLUM FONDEN via the QMATH Centre of Excellence (Grant No. 10059). IN's research has been supported by the ANR project StoQ ANR-14-CE25-0003-01, as well as by a von Humboldt fellowship.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we shall fix a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and we put $e_0 := e_n$. Given an operator $U \in X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$, we denote by $X_{ij}$ its $m \times m$ blocks:

$$X = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} e_i e_j^* \otimes X_{ij}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *For any operator $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ having blocks $X_{ij}$, we have*

$$\Omega(X) = \dim \operatorname{span}\{X_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n}.$$

*Proof.* We use the *vectorization* operation, which "flattens" matrices to vectors:

$$\operatorname{vec}(e_i e_j^*) := e_i \otimes e_j.$$

Applying this operation to both factors of the tensor product, the expression

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (e_i \otimes e_j) \cdot \operatorname{vec}(X_{ij})^*$$

defines an operator $\tilde{X}$ with the property that $\operatorname{rk}(\tilde{X}) = \Omega(X)$. Since the vectors $e_i \otimes e_j$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, we have that

$$\Omega(X) = \dim \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{vec}(X_{ij})\}_{i,j=1}^{n} = \dim \operatorname{span}\{X_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n}.$$

□

**Remark 2.2.** *One can easily show that the operator Schmidt rank of an operator $X$ is also equal to the (usual) rank of the* realignment *(or reshuffling) $X^R$ of $X$ (see e.g.* [1, Section 10.2] *for the definitions).*

**Proposition 2.3.** *For any rank $1 \leq r \leq n$, there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\operatorname{rk} U^R = r$.*

*Proof.* Choose $r$ linearly independent unitary operators $V_1, \dots, V_r \in \mathcal{U}_n$ (say, any $r$ distinct Weyl operators [5, Section 4]) and define

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i e_i^* \otimes V_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} e_i e_i^* \otimes V_r.$$

Using Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\Omega(U) = \dim \operatorname{span}\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{r} = r.$$

□

## 3. Unitaries from permutations

In this section we study the operator Schmidt ranks of unitary operators associated to permutations. The construction below contains all but two of the possible ranks from Theorem 1.3. We think that the construction is interesting on its own, and raises non-trivial combinatorial questions regarding pairs of permutation tableaux; see e.g. [3] for a connection with $r$-orthogonal Latin squares. We shall denote by $\mathcal{S}_n$ the symmetric group on $n$ elements.

**Definition 3.1.** *To any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ we associate the unitary operator $U_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ by*

$$U_{\alpha,\beta} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} e_i e_j^* \otimes e_{\alpha_i(j)} e_{\beta_j(i)}^*.$$

It is easy to check that the operator $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ is indeed unitary (see [2, Lemma 3.3] for a proof). One can compute the operator Schmidt rank of $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ from the permutations alone. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ we define

$$N(\alpha,\beta) := \Big| \{(\alpha_i(j), \beta_j(i)) \,:\, 0 \le i,j \le n-1\} \Big|.$$

Then, using the fact that the blocks of the operator $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ have just one non-zero entry, it is immediate to show the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ we have $\Omega(U_{\alpha,\beta}) = N(\alpha,\beta)$.*

Using the previous lemma we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *For $n > 2$ and any $r \in \{n, \ldots, n^2\} \setminus \{n+1, n^2-1\}$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ such that $\Omega(U_{\alpha,\beta}) = r$.*

The proof of Theorem 3.3 involves several constructions of permutations $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ presented in the following lemmata. We shall use $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$ to denote the cyclic shift $c(i) = i + 1 \bmod n$.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $r \in \{n, \ldots, 2n\} \setminus \{n+1\}$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ such that $N(\alpha,\beta) = r$.*

*Proof.* For some permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ consider $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ given by

$$\alpha_0 = \pi,\ \alpha_1 = c^1,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_{n-1} = c^{n-1}$$
$$\beta_0 = \mathrm{id},\ \beta_1 = c^1,\ \ldots,\ \beta_{n-1} = c^{n-1}.$$

With these permutations we have

$$(\alpha_i(j), \beta_j(i)) = \begin{cases} (\pi(j), j)\,, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (i + j \bmod n, i + j \bmod n)\,, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let $l \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{n-1\}$ be the number of fixed points of $\pi$, then we have

$$N(\alpha,\beta) = \Big| \{(\alpha_i(j), \beta_j(i)) \,:\, 0 \le i,j \le n-1\} \Big| = 2n - l.$$

As there are permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ having $l$ fixed points for any $l \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{n-1\}$, the proof is finished. □

The next lemma will be proved using a similar, but slightly more complicated construction.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For $n > 3$ and any $r \in \{2n, \dots, 3n-1\}$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ such that $N(\alpha, \beta) = r$.*

*Proof.* For some permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ consider $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ given by[2]

$$\alpha_0 = c^1,\ \alpha_1 = c^1,\ \alpha_2 = c^2,\ \dots,\ \alpha_{n-1} = c^{n-1}$$
$$\beta_0 = \pi,\ \beta_1 = c^1,\ \beta_2 = c^2,\ \dots,\ \beta_{n-1} = c^{n-1}.$$

With these permutations we have

$$(\alpha_i(j), \beta_j(i)) = \begin{cases} (1, \pi(0)), & \text{if } i = 0, j = 0 \\ (i, \pi(i)), & \text{if } i \neq 0, j = 0 \\ (j+1 \bmod n, j), & \text{if } i = 0, j \neq 0 \\ (i+j \bmod n, i+j \bmod n), & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Note that the last two cases in (1) include $2n-1$ different elements of the set

$$\{(\alpha_i(j), \beta_j(i)) \,:\, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}.$$

Therefore, choosing $\pi = c^2$ leads to permutations $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ with $N(\alpha, \beta) = 3n-1$ as the first two cases in (1) give different elements than the last two cases. Similarly, setting $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ to

$$\pi(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ i, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

in (1) gives $N(\alpha, \beta) = 2n$. Next, choosing $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that

$$\pi(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 2, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 2 \\ i, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

gives $N(\alpha, \beta) = 2n+1$. Finally, for any $0 < k < n-2$ consider the permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ given by

$$\pi(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ k+1, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } i = n-1 \\ i+1, & \text{if } i \in \{k+1, \dots, n-2\} \\ i, & \text{if } i \in \{2, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

By inserting this into (1) it can be verified easily that $N(\alpha, \beta) = 3n-k-1$. □

With the previous lemmata we can proof Theorem 3.3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* The proof will be done by induction in $n$. Consider the case $n = 3$: By Lemma 3.4 we obtain permutations $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_3^3$ for any $r \in \{3, 5, 6\}$ such that $N(\alpha, \beta) = r$. It is easy to verify that the choice $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \mathrm{id}$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ gives $N(\alpha, \beta) = 9$. Finally choosing $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \mathrm{id}$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = c^1$ gives $N(\alpha, \beta) = 7$.

Now for $n > 3$ assume that for any $r \in \{n-1, \dots, (n-1)^2\} \setminus \{n, (n-1)^2 - 1\}$ there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}^{n-1}$ such that $N(\alpha, \beta) = r$. Now consider any $r' \in \{n, \dots, n^2\} \setminus \{n+1, n^2-1\}$. In the cases where $r' \in \{n, \dots, 2n\} \setminus \{n+1\}$ or $r' \in \{2n, \dots 3n-1\}$ we can use Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 respectively to construct permutations $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ with $N(\alpha, \beta) = r'$. For $r' \in \{3n, \dots, n^2\} \setminus \{n^2 - 1\}$ we set $r = r' - 2n + 1$ and note that $r \in \{n+1, \dots, (n-1)^2\} \setminus \{(n-1)^2 - 1\}$. By induction hypothesis

[2]Here $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ is *not* a typo.

there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}^{n-1}$ such that $N(\alpha, \beta) = r$. For any additional permutations $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ we define $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathcal{S}_n^n$ by

$$\alpha'_k(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha_k(i), & \text{if } i \leq n-1 \\ n, & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}, \qquad \beta'_k(i) = \begin{cases} \beta_k(i), & \text{if } i \leq n-1 \\ n, & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

for $k \leq n$. Now it is easy to verify that

$$N(\alpha', \beta') = \left| \left\{ (\alpha'_i(j), \beta'_j(i)) \,:\, 0 \leq i, j \leq n \right\} \right| = r + 2n - 1 = r'.$$

□

It is remarkable that the permutation construction detailed in this section shows that all, except two ranks are possible. Note that in the case $n = 2$, the remaining two cases, $n+1$ and $n^2-1$, correspond to the restriction $\Omega(U) \neq 3$ from [4]. In the next two sections, we construct unitary operators having operator Schmidt rank equal to $n+1$ and $n^2-1$ respectively for any $n \geq 3$.

## 4. The product construction

Here we generalize a construction by Tyson from [7]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the $k$-cyclic shift $S_k^n : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ on the computational basis by

$$S_k^n e_i = e_{i+k \bmod n}$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots n\}$.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any $k, l \in \{1, \ldots, \min(n, m)\}$ there is a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$ such that $\Omega(U) = kl$.*

*Proof.* Consider two partitions of the identity operator into orthogonal, diagonal (in the computational basis) projectors $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^l$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ respectively (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^k P_i = \mathbb{1}_n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^l Q_j = \mathbb{1}_m$). Consider the matrices

$$V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} P_i \otimes S_i^m \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{l} S_j^n \otimes Q_j.$$

Using orthogonality of the projectors and unitarity of the cyclic shifts it is easy to verify that $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$. This implies that

$$U = V_1 V_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} P_i S_j^n \otimes S_i^m Q_j$$

is a unitary matrix. Note that $\{P_i S_j^n\}_{i,j}$ and $\{S_i^m Q_j\}_{i,j}$ are orthogonal sets with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product:

$$\langle P_i S_j^n, P_{i'} S_{j'}^n \rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left[S_{-j}^n P_i P_{i'} S_{j'}^n\right] = \delta_{i,i'} \operatorname{tr}\left[P_i S_{j'-j}^n\right] = \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'},$$

where for the last equality we have used the fact that the diagonal of the operator $S_{j'-j}^n$ is zero, unless $j = j' \bmod n$. This shows that $\Omega(U) = kl$. □

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any odd $n \geq 3$, there exist a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with operator Schmidt rank $\Omega(U) = n+1$.*

The next result contains the other half of the existence proof for the operator Schmidt rank $n+1$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For any even $n > 2$ there exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with operator Schmidt rank $\Omega(U) = n+1$.*

*Proof.* Let $n = 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 4.1 there exists a unitary $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k\right)$ with operator Schmidt rank $\Omega\left(\tilde{U}\right) = n = 2k \leq k^2$. We write

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{k1} & \cdots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

and note that by Proposition 2.3 we have $n = \Omega(\tilde{U}) = \dim\left(\operatorname{span}\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{k}\right)$. Now consider the unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n\right)$ given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & A_{1k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{11} & & 0 & A_{1k} & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots & \\ A_{k1} & 0 & \cdots & A_{kk} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{k1} & & 0 & A_{kk} & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & V & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & W & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & W \end{pmatrix}$$

for unitaries $V \neq W$, each appearing $k$ times on the diagonal of $U$. Again by Proposition 2.1 and the choice of the $A_{ij}$ we have

$$\Omega(U) = \dim\left(\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{\begin{pmatrix} A_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{ij} \end{pmatrix}\right\}_{i,j=1}^{k} \cup \left\{\begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}\right\}\right)\right) = n + 1.$$

□

## 5. The Fourier construction

To construct unitaries $U \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n\right)$ with $\Omega\left(U\right) = n^2 - 1$ we will apply the Fourier method developed by Tyson in [7]. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ let

$$\hat{\lambda}(a,b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{n-1} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(a\alpha + b\beta)\right) \lambda(\alpha,\beta).$$

denote the discrete Fourier transform. For the construction we define an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$v_{\alpha,\beta} := n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi_n^{\alpha(j-\beta)} e_{j-\beta \bmod n} \otimes e_j$$

for $\phi_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$. We will apply the following result of Tyson:

**Theorem 5.1** ([7, Theorem 7])**.** *For $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $|\lambda(i,j)| = 1$ the unitary operator*

$$D_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{n-1} \lambda\left(\alpha,\beta\right) v_{\alpha,\beta} v_{\alpha,\beta}^* \ \in \ \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n\right)$$

*has operator Schmidt rank*

$$\Omega\left(D_\lambda\right) = \left|\left\{(a,b) \in \{0,\ldots,n-1\}^2 \ : \ \hat{\lambda}(a,b) \neq 0\right\}\right|.$$

With the above we have the following result, showing the existence of a unitary with operator Schmidt rank equal to $n^2-1$:

**Proposition 5.2.** *For any $n>2$ there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ with $|\lambda_{ij}|=1$ such that the unitary operator $D_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined in Theorem 5.1 fulfills $\Omega(D_\lambda)=n^2-1$.*

*Proof.* Let $p \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}$ be a prime number[3] not dividing $n$. For $i<j$ fix distinct numbers $M_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$. Now for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to be specified later choose

$$\lambda_x(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} \phi_n^\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha=\beta \\ (\phi_n^x)^{M_{\alpha,\beta}}, & \text{if } \alpha>\beta \\ -(\phi_n^x)^{M_{1,0}}, & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta)=(1,p) \\ -(\phi_n^x)^{M_{p,1}}, & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta)=(0,1) \\ -(\phi_n^x)^{M_{\beta,\alpha}} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We will show that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be chosen such that

$$\left|\{(a,b)\in\{0,\dots,n-1\}^2 \,:\, \hat{\lambda}(a,b)\neq 0\}\right| = n^2-1.$$

Then, by Theorem 5.1 the unitary $D_{\lambda_x}$ will have operator Schmidt rank $\Omega(D_\lambda)=n^2-1$ finishing the proof. By construction we have

$$\hat{\lambda}_x(0,0) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\beta=0}^{n-1}\lambda_x(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1}\phi_n^\alpha = 0$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Thus, we have to find $x\in\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\lambda}_x(a,b)\neq 0$ for any $(a,b)\in\{0,\dots,n-1\}^2\backslash\{(0,0)\}$. For each $(a,b)\neq(0,0)$ we define a polynomial $P_{a,b}$ by

$$P_{a,b}(z) = \frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1}\phi_n^{(a+b+1)\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha>\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta} z^{M_{\alpha,\beta}}\right]$$

with coefficients

$$c_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(a\alpha+b\beta)\right) - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(a\beta+b\alpha)\right), & \text{if } (p,1)\neq(\alpha,\beta)\neq(1,0) \\ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(ap+b)\right) - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}b\right), & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta)=(p,1) \\ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}a\right) - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(a+bp)\right), & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta)=(1,0) \end{cases}.$$

Note that $c_{p,1}=c_{1,0}=0$ iff $n$ divides both $ap$ and $bp$. This can only happen for $(a,b)=(0,0)$ as $a,b<n$ and $p$ is not a divisor of $n$. Therefore, we have $P_{a,b}\neq 0$ (as polynomials) for any $(a,b)\neq(0,0)$. Finally note that

$$\hat{\lambda}_x(a,b) = P_{a,b}(\phi_n^x).$$

As any $P_{a,b}$ has only finitely many zeros it is possible to choose $x\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_x(a,b)\neq 0$ for all $(a,b)\neq 0$.

□

Note that the unitary matrices constructed in this section have complex entries, whereas our other constructions are all real. This raises the question of constructing bipartite orthogonal operators $U\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n\otimes\mathbb{C}^n)$ having operator Schmidt rank $n^2-1$. We leave this question open. Such a construction would prove a real (i.e. orthogonal) version of Theorem 1.3.

[3] If there were no such prime for some $n>3$, then the primes 2 and 3 have to divide $n$. Now consider a prime $p\in\left[\frac{n}{2},n\right]$ (such a prime exists by Bertrand's postulate). By assumption $p$ divides $n$ as well and we have $1\leq\frac{n}{p}\leq 2$ by construction. But then 3 cannot divide $\frac{n}{p}$ contradicting that 3 divides $n$.

## 6. Unbalanced dimensions

In the previous sections, we have considered operators $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ and we have shown (Proposition 2.3, Theorem 3.3, Corollary 4.2, Proposition 4.3, Proposition 5.2) that, for $n \geq 3$, any rank $r \in \{1, \dots, n^2\}$ is achievable. To finish the proof of Theorem 1.3, we show in this section how to extend the previous constructions to the general case $n \neq m$.

**Theorem 6.1.** *For any $(n, m) \neq (2, 2)$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, \min(n, m)^2\}$ there exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$ with operator Schmidt rank $\Omega(U) = r$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume $n < m$. As $\Omega(V \otimes W) = 1$ for $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ we will assume in the following that $r > 1$. We begin with the case of $n > 2$: By the previous results dealing with the $n = m$ case, there exists a unitary $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with operator Schmidt rank $\Omega\left(\tilde{U}\right) = r - 1$. We write

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

and note that by Proposition 2.1 we have $r - 1 = \Omega(\tilde{U}) = \dim\left(\operatorname{span}\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n\right)$. As $r - 1 \leq n^2 - 1$ there exists a unitary $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $V \notin \operatorname{span}\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$. Now consider $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$ given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & V & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & V. \end{pmatrix}$$

By Proposition 2.1 and the choice of $V$ we have $\Omega(U) = \dim\left(\operatorname{span}\left(\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n \cup \{V\}\right)\right) = r$.

In the case $n = 2$ we can use the same construction as above to construct unitaries $U \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m\right)$ (for $m > 2$) with operator Schmidt ranks $\Omega(U) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The existence of a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m\right)$ with $\Omega(U) = 4$ follows from Theorem 4.1.

□

## References


[1] Bengtsson, I. and Życzkowski, K. *Geometry of quantum states.* Cambridge Univ. Press (2006). 2

[2] Benoist, T., Nechita, I. *On bipartite unitary matrices generating subalgebra–preserving quantum operations.* Preprint arXiv:1608.05811. 3

[3] Colbourn, C. J., Zhu, L. *The spectrum of r-Orthogonal Latin squares.* Combinatorics Advances, pp. 49–75 (1995). 3

[4] Dür, W., Vidal, G., Cirac, J. I. *Optimal conversion of nonlocal unitary operations.* Physical review letters, 89(5), 057901 (2002). 1, 5

[5] Fukuda, M. and Holevo, A.S. *Complementarity and additivity for covariant channels.* Quant. Info. Proc., 5, 179–207 (2006). 2

[6] Kraus, B., Cirac, J. I. *Optimal creation of entanglement using a two-qubit gate.* Physical Review A, 63(6), 062309 (2001). 1

[7] Tyson, J. E. *Operator-Schmidt decompositions and the Fourier transform, with applications to the operator-Schmidt numbers of unitaries.* Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 36(39), 10101 (2003). 1, 5, 6

[8] Nielsen, M. A., Dawson, C. M., Dodd, J. L., Gilchrist, A., Mortimer, D., Osborne, T. J., Bremner, M. J., Harrow, A. W., Hines, A. *Quantum dynamics as a physical resource.* Physical Review A, 67(5), 052301 (2003). 1

AMH: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

*E-mail address*: muellerh@math.ku.dk and muellerh@posteo.net

IN: Zentrum Mathematik, M5, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstrasse 3, 85748 Garching, Germany and CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, IRSAMC, Université de Toulouse, UPS, F-31062 Toulouse, France

*E-mail address*: nechita@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr